

Effect of spray treatment of plant growth substances at different stages on growth and yield of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) cv. Indra under green house

VANDANA P. PRAJAPATI¹ AND L. R. VARMA²

Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture
S. D. Agril. University, Sardarkrushinagar-385 506 (Gujarat)

Received : 14 March, 2013

ABSTRACT

Study of different spray treatment of plant growth substances on sweet pepper was carried out under green house condition. The results revealed that among different spray treatment of plant growth substances, the maximum height of plant (30.15 cm) at 30 days after transplanting was found significant with spray of GA₃ 50 ppm (P₃). The minimum days taken for edible maturity was also superior with GA₃ 50 ppm. Whereas, the maximum number of branches (5.52) and minimum days taken for initiation of flower (48.50 days) was recorded with ethrel 100 ppm (P₇) treatment. The maximum fruit set (70.78 %) was recorded with spray of GA₃ 25 ppm (P₂). Regarding yield parameter, spray treatment of GA₃ 50 ppm (P₃) was superior with respect to average weight of fruit (96.58 g), yield/plot (1.84 kg) and yield/ha (244.65 q/ha). Among two stages of spray, S₂ (Spray at 20 days after transplanting) was recorded the maximum girth of stem at 30 days after transplanting (0.47 cm). Whereas, S₁ (Spray at 20 days after sowing) was recorded initiation of first flower (49.96 days). No any beneficial effect was recorded on yield parameters.

Key words : Sweet pepper, plant growth substances, stages of spray

The genus *Capsicum* belongs to family Solanaceae which is grown in several parts of the world. Sweet pepper is believed to be originated in Tropical South America. Sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) also known as 'Shimla mirch' or 'bell pepper' or 'capsicum' is an important Solanaceous vegetable. It provides good levels of carbohydrates and are rich in vitamins A and C (Burt, 2005). The hormonal use in the plant system and their importance is the outstanding discovery and achievement of plant sciences. A number of techniques for application of growth substances have been tried on various vegetables.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out during the year 2011-12 in Naturally Ventilated Greenhouse of Department of Vegetable Science, College of

Horticulture, S. D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar. The experiment was laid out in Completely Randomized Design with Factorial concept. There were sixteen (16) treatment combinations comprised eight levels of plant growth substances viz., P₀ (Control), P₁ (Water spray), P₂ (GA₃ 25 ppm), P₃ (GA₃ 50 ppm), P₄ (NAA 20 ppm), P₅ (NAA 40 ppm), P₆ (Ethrel 50 ppm), P₇ (Ethrel 100 ppm) and two stages of spray viz., S₁ (spray at 20 days after sowing) and S₂ (spray at 20 days after transplanting). A number of observation viz., height of plant and girth of stem at 30, 60, 90 and 120 days after transplanting (cm), number of branches, days taken for first initiation of flower after transplanting, percentage fruit set per plant, days taken for flowering to edible maturity stages, days taken for first picking, total number of fruits per plant, average weight of fruit (g), yield of fruits per plant (kg), yield per hectare (q/ha) were recorded.

¹P.G. Student, ²Dean, College of Horticulture

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect on growth

In the present study, the height of sweet pepper plant has been found to increase with the advancement of development stages. It is clearly seen from the data that there was an increase in the height of plant due to application of GA₃ @ 50 ppm. This increase in plant height is due to its effect on stem elongation by rapid cell elongation and multiplication of cells in sub-apical meristem. The rapid growth that occurs is a result of both the greater number of cells formed and elongation of individual cells. The increase in plant height by GA₃ application has also been reported by Patel (1998), Naruka and Paliwal (1999), Sorte *et al.*, (2001) in brinjal, Kore, *et al.*, (2003) in bottle gourd and Natesh *et al.*, (2005) in chilli and the highest number of branches (5.52) was observed due to application of ethrel. The above results were

in consonance with those of Das and Das (1996) in pumpkin, Bharadwaj *et al.*, (1998) in capsicum, Dixit *et al.*, (2001) in watermelon, Girde *et al.*, (2006) in sponge gourd and Hilli, *et al.*, (2010) in ridge gourd.

Effect on flowering and fruit setting

The first initiation of flower was found due to the application of ethrel. The above results were in agreement with those of Krishnappa, (2002) in tomato, Kooner *et al.*, (2000) in bottle gourd and Dixit *et al.*, (2001) in watermelon and the maximum per cent of fruit setting (70 %) was recorded with the application of GA₃. Whereas, minimum fruit setting (39.44%) was recorded in control. Similar finding have also been obtained by Irulappan and Muthukrishnan (1974) in tomato, Chattopadhyay and Sen (1974) in chilli, Nair *et al.*, (1974) in tomato, Sorte *et al.*, (2001) in okra and Natesh *et al.*, (2005) in chilli.

Table 1 Effect of spray treatment of plant growth substances at different stages on height of plant, girth thickness of stem, number of branches and days taken for initiation of first flower.

Treatments	Height of plant at 30 DAT (cm)	Girth of stem at 30 DAT (cm)	Number of branches	First initiation flowering
Plant growth substances(P)				
P ₀ - Control (No spray)	28.17	0.47	4.33	49.00
P ₁ - Water spray	28.03	0.47	3.67	48.56
P ₂ - GA 3-25 ppm	29.08	0.44	4.87	52.83
P ₃ - GA 3-50 ppm	30.15	0.46	4.28	56.61
P ₄ - NAA - 20 ppm	20.89	0.42	4.33	54.06
P ₅ - NAA - 40 ppm	21.72	0.46	4.11	55.04
P ₆ - Ethrel - 50 ppm	28.87	0.48	5.21	48.50
P ₇ - Ethrel - 100 ppm	26.57	0.46	5.52	48.50
S.E.m. ±	1.69	0.02	0.21	1.22
C.D. at 5%	4.88	NS	0.60	3.52
Stages of spray(S)				
S ₁ -At Seedling stage (20 days after seed sowing)	26.56	0.44	4.50	49.96
S ₂ -After transplanting (20 days after transplanting)	26.81	0.47	4.58	53.32
S.E.m. ±	0.85	0.01	0.10	0.61
C.D. at 5%	NS	0.03	NS	1.76
Interaction (P X S)				
S.E.m. ±	2.39	0.03	0.30	1.73
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	4.98
C.V.%	15.53	9.62	11.28	5.79

Table 2 Effect of spray treatment of plant growth substances at different stages on per cent fruit set, days taken for flowering to edible maturity and days taken for first picking.

Treatments	Fruit set per plant (%)	Days taken for flowering to edible maturity	Days taken for first picking
Plant growth substances (P)			
P ₀ - Control (No spray)	39.44	38.72	87.72
P ₁ - Water spray	41.67	33.17	82.22
P ₂ - GA ₃ -25 ppm	70.78	27.94	80.61
P ₃ - GA ₃ -50 ppm	60.89	26.55	82.94
P ₄ - NAA -2 ppm	61.95	36.94	90.89
P ₅ - NAA -40 ppm	62.83	36.67	91.44
P ₆ - Ethrel -5 ppm	60.28	37.28	85.61
P ₇ - Ethrel -100 ppm	58.06	35.77	84.27
S.E.m. $\pm$	4.61	1.83	2.23
C.D. at 5%	13.27	5.27	6.43
Stages of spray (S)			
S ₁ -At Seedling stage (20 days after seed sowing)	56.40	34.35	84.26
S ₂ -After transplanting (20 days after transplanting)	57.57	33.91	87.16
S.E.m. $\pm$	2.30	0.91	1.12
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS
Interaction (P X S)			
S.E.m. $\pm$	6.52	2.58	3.16
C.D. at 5%	NS	7.45	9.10
C.V.%	19.80	13.11	6.38

The earlier edible maturity (26.55 days) was obtained by application of GA₃. Similar beneficial effect of growth substances has been reported by Dixit *et al.*, (2001) in watermelon, Bisaria and Bhatnagar (1978) in brinjal. Whereas, the effect of plant growth substances was found significant on earlier picking. The earlier picking of fruits was increased due to application of plant growth substances like GA₃. Similar finding have also been obtained by Natesh *et al.*, (2005) in chilli.

fruit (96.58 g), yield of fruit per plant (1.83 kg) and yield per hectare (244.64 q/ha) was obtained in GA₃ 50 ppm treatment. An increase in average fruit weight treated plants may further attributed to the reason that plants remain physiologically more active to build up sufficient food stock for the developing flowers and fruits. The above results were in agreement with Nimje *et al.*, (1990), Kumar and Ray (2000) in cauliflower, El-Helaly (2009) in potato, Naruka and Paliwal (1999) and Kore *et al.*, (2003) in okra.

Effect on yield attributes and yield

The maximum yield attributes viz. average weight of

Table 3 Effect of spray treatment of plant growth substances at different stages on total number of fruits per plant, average weight of fruit (g), yield of fruits per plant (kg) and yield per hectare (q/ha).

Treatments	Total No. of fruits/ plant	Average wt. of fruit (g)	Yield of fruits/ plant (kg)	Yield / hectare (q/ha)
Plant growth substances(P)				
P ₀ - Control (No spray)	10.10	71.96	0.68	90.86
P ₁ - Water spray	10.78	77.75	0.61	81.02
P ₂ - GA ₃ - 25 ppm	15.05	88.82	0.71	94.56
P ₃ - GA ₃ - 50 ppm	10.61	96.58	1.83	244.64
P ₄ - NAA - 20 ppm	11.34	90.63	0.56	74.56
P ₅ - NAA - 40 ppm	12.00	84.18	0.85	113.71
P ₆ - Ethrel - 50 ppm	11.61	88.63	0.76	101.23
P ₇ - Ethrel - 100 ppm	11.22	93.00	0.66	88.16
S.E.m. $\pm$	1.10	4.80	0.06	8.04
C.D. at 5%	NS	13.83	0.17	23.17
Stages of spray(S)				
S ₁ - At Seedlingstag (20 days after seed sowing)	12.57	85.79	0.84	112.18
S ₂ - After transplanting (20 days after transplanting)	10.83	87.10	0.83	110.00
S.E.m. $\pm$	0.55	2.40	0.03	4.02
C.D. at 5%	1.59	NS	NS	NS
Interaction (P X S)				
S.E.m. $\pm$	1.56	6.78	0.09	11.37
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	0.25	32.77
C.V.%	23.10	13.60	17.69	17.73

REFERENCES

- Bharadwaj, G., Singh, K. P., Chauhan, S. V. S. and Toshiro Kinoshita. 1988. Effect of Ethephon on growth and yield in *Capsicum annuum* L. *Journal of Fac. Hokkaido University* **63**(4): 383-386.
- Bisaria, A. K. and Bhatnagar, V. K. 1978. Effect of growth regulators on growth, fruit and yield in brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). *Indian Journal of Horticulture* **35**: 381-385.
- Burt, J. 2005. Growing capsicums and chillies. *Farmnote*. Department of Agriculture and Food,
- Chattopadhyay T. K. and Sen, S. K. 1974. Studies on the effect of different growth regulators on reproduction and morphology of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Vegetable Science*, **1**(1): 42-45.
- Das, B. C. and Das, T. K. 1996. Studies on the response of GA₃, NAA and ethrel on the vegetative growth, flowering and yield of pumpkin. cv. Gumala Local. *The Orissa Journal of Horticulture*. **24**: 74-78.
- Dixit, A., Rai, N. and Vijay Kumar 2001. Effect of plant growth regulator on growth, earliness and sex ratio in water melon. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research* **35**(1): 66-68,
- El-Asdoudi, A. H. and Ouf 1993. Effect of gibberellin on flowering and fruit quality of pepper. *Annals of Agricultural Science (Cairo)*. **38**: 661-666.
- El-Helaly, M. A. 2009. Effect of some growth regulators on number of stems and tuber yield in potato plants, Department of Vegetable Crops, Faculty of Agriculture,

- Cairo University, Giza, Egypt, 4th Conference on Recent Technologies in Agriculture.
- Girde, V. B., Bhrtake, S., Ingle, V. G., Pandey, V. P. and Ghode, P. B. 2006. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, sex behaviour and yield of sponge gourd cv. Pusa Chikani. *Crop Protection & Production* **2**(1): 92-93.
- Hilli, J. S., Vyankarnahal, B. S., Biradar D. P. and Ravi Hunj 2010. Effect of growth regulators and stages of spray on growth, fruit set and seed yield of ridge gourd (*Luffa aacutungula* L.). *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science* **23**(2): 239-242.
- Irulappan, I. and Muthukrishnan, C. R. 1974. Effect of growth regulators on seed germination, yield and quality of tomato cv. Co-1. *South Indian Horticulture* **22**(1-2): 54-56.
- Kooner, K. S., Singh, J. and Saimbhi, M. S. 2000. Effect of plant growth substances on growth, sex expression and fruit yield in bottle gourd. cv. Punjab Komal., *Haryana Journal of Horticultural Science* **29**(3-4): 268-269.
- Kore, V. N., Salunkhe, A. R., Shirke, G., Mane, A. V., Patil, R. S. and Bendale, V. W. 2003. Flowering and yield attributes of okra as influenced by different plant growth regulators. *Journal of Soils and Crops* **13**(2): 238-241.
- Krishnappa, N. 2002. Influence of different source of nutrients and ethrel on yield and quality fruits of processing tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences* pp. 446-447.
- Kumar, V. and Ray, N. 2000. Effect of plant growth regulators on cauliflower cv. Pant Subhra, *The Orissa Journal of Horticulture* **28**(1): 65-67.
- Nair, P. M., Mohanakumaran, N. and Nair, V. R. 1974. Effect of growth regulators on yield of tomato. *Agricultural Research Journal of Kerala*. **12**(1): 78-79.
- Naruka, I. S. and Paliwal, R. 1999. Ameliorative potential of GA₃ and NAA on growth and yield attributes of okra. *South Indian Horticulture* **48**(1-6): 129-131.
- Natesh, N., Vyakaranahal, B. S., Gouda, M. S. and Deshpande, V. K. 2005. Influence of growth regulators on growth, seed yield and quality of chilli cv. Byadagi Kaddi. *Karnataka Journal Agriculture Science*. **18**(1): 36-38.
- Nimje, P. M., Wanjari, O. D. and Shyam, M. 1990. Greenhouse technology for vegetable crop production. Proceeding of the 11th International Congress on the use of the plastics in Agriculture, New Delhi. 26th February-2nd March, 1990. : 83-90.
- Patel, K. V. 1998. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth and yield of okra [*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench.] cv. Parbhani Kranti. *M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis*, Gujarat Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar.
- Sorte, P. N., Damke, M. M., Rafeekher, M., Goramnagar, H. B. and Bobade, P. M. 2001. Influence of GA₃ and IAA on growth, yield and fruit quality of different varieties of brinjal. *Journal of Soils and Crops*. **11**(1): 128-131.