

## Correlative Studies between Quantitative and Biological Estimations of Aflatoxins Extracted from Cattle Feeds and their Ingredients of Gujarat State\*

A. B. Fulsoundar\*\* and P. C. Shukla

Animal Nutrition Department, Gujarat Agricultural University, Anand Campus, Anand.

### ABSTRACT

The study was carried out to estimate the biologically positive samples quantitatively for their crude aflatoxins content on Beckman DU-2 spectrophotometer by using standard mixture of aflatoxins ( $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ ) procured from V. P. Chest Institute, New Delhi. It was found that deoiled Sheria (*Hibiscus cannabinus*, Linn.) cake had the minimum aflatoxin content (0.05 ppm.) while banti (*Echinochloa staghmina*, Beauv.) a cereal grain had the maximum of the toxin content (5.44 ppm.).

An attempt was made to calculate the amount of the crude aflatoxins as per the suggestion of Robertson, Pons and Goldblutt (1967). It was observed that the calculated quantitative values obtained by this method of each of the samples were comparatively higher than the spectrophotometric method. The general range (calculated) by this method was found to be 0.07 to 7.31 ppm.

The correlations were worked out between the concentrations of the aflatoxins (ppm) of both the methods with the zone of inhibition of bacterial growth (diameter in cm). It was observed that both the correlations (i) spectro-photometric concentration of aflatoxin and zone of inhibition (0.967\*\*) and (ii) Robertson et al (1967) method concentration and the zone of inhibition (0.798\*\*) were statistically significant ( $P < 0.01$ ). These results thereby suggests that zone of inhibition can be used for approximate quantitative estimations, when the costlier equipment like Beckman DU-2 spectrophotometer is not available.

(Received on April 7, 1977)

### INTRODUCTION

CONSIDERING the increased severity of unexplained diseases and their effects on a subsequent deterioration of animal health, an attempt was made to assess commonly used concentrates and compounded cattle feeds for their extent of aflatoxin content and to correlate the quantity of aflatoxin (ppm) with zone of inhibition (diameter in cm) of bacterial growth.

### METHODS AND MATERIALS

The feed samples were quantitatively

analysed for their presence of aflatoxin as per A.O.A.C. (1970) and biologically analysed to confirm the presence of aflatoxins by using the micro-organism as per the suggestions of Sheth (1973) and Subrahmanyam and Rao (1973).

Biologically positive samples for aflatoxins were quantitatively analysed on Beckman DU-2 spectrophotometer by using the standard aflatoxin mixture ( $B_1$ ,  $B_2$ ,  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ ) procured from V. P. Chest Institute, New Delhi as standard and methanol as organic solvent used to dissolve aflatoxins. The standard curve

\* Part of M.V.Sc. (Animal Nutrition) degree thesis, submitted by first author to G.A.U., Ahmedabad in 1977.

of above mentioned standard was prepared by plotting its different concentrations against their optical density at 363 mcg wave length and it was observed that aflatoxin content as per the curve was 43.5 mcg/optical density and accordingly optical density readings of test samples were taken and quantity was calculated.

The quantity of the crude aflatoxins (ppm) obtained by both the methods

were correlated with the zone of inhibition (diameter in cm) of bacterial growth.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The results of quantitative analysis on Beckman DU-2 spectrophotometer and calculated quantity of aflatoxins as per Robertson *et al* (1967) method along with their biological effects on bacterial growth are given in Table 1.

TABLE 1

Quantitative results of biologically positive feed samples by spectrophotometric method and Robertson *et al* calculation method and their correlation with zone of inhibition.

| Sr. No. | Class and Code No. of feed sample | Zone of inhibition (cm.) | Method A (ppm) | Method B (ppm) |
|---------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 1.      | 2.                                | 3.                       | 4.             | 5.             |
| I       | Deoiled groundnut cake            |                          |                |                |
|         | A <sub>17</sub>                   | 0.5                      | 0.326          | 0.440          |
|         | A <sub>20</sub>                   | 0.7                      | 0.734          | 0.990          |
|         | P <sub>1</sub>                    | 1.1                      | 0.863          | 1.160          |
|         | R <sub>4</sub>                    | 1.1                      | 0.691          | 0.930          |
|         | B <sub>4</sub>                    | 0.5                      | 0.245          | 0.330          |
|         | W <sub>1</sub>                    | 0.8                      | 0.614          | 0.830          |
| II      | Expeller groundnut cake           |                          |                |                |
|         | A <sub>1</sub>                    | 1.2                      | 0.965          | 1.300          |
|         | A <sub>2</sub>                    | 1.5                      | 1.604          | 2.160          |
|         | A <sub>3</sub>                    | 1.8                      | 2.855          | 3.840          |
|         | A <sub>18</sub>                   | 0.6                      | 0.133          | 0.180          |
|         | A <sub>19</sub>                   | 1.0                      | 0.653          | 0.880          |
|         | M <sub>9</sub>                    | 0.5                      | 0.473          | 0.640          |
|         | W <sub>2</sub>                    | 0.3                      | 0.188          | 0.250          |
| III     | Deoiled Cottonseed cake           |                          |                |                |
|         | C <sub>1</sub>                    | 0.3                      | 0.582          | 0.780          |
|         | W <sub>6</sub>                    | 1.0                      | 0.734          | 0.990          |
| IV      | Deoiled kardi cake                |                          |                |                |
|         | A <sub>23</sub>                   | 1.1                      | 1.251          | 1.680          |
|         | R <sub>18</sub>                   | 1.5                      | 1.590          | 2.150          |
|         | S <sub>19</sub>                   | 1.7                      | 2.813          | 3.780          |
| V       | Deoiled Salseed cake              |                          |                |                |
|         | A <sub>9</sub>                    | 1.4                      | 1.223          | 1.640          |
|         | A <sub>24</sub>                   | 0.6                      | 0.533          | 0.720          |
|         | M <sub>7</sub>                    | 1.2                      | 2.719          | 3.650          |
|         | P <sub>9</sub>                    | 1.0                      | 0.674          | 0.910          |
|         | R <sub>8</sub>                    | 1.0                      | 1.319          | 1.770          |
|         | S <sub>14</sub>                   | 0.9                      | 0.631          | 0.850          |
|         | W <sub>7</sub>                    | 1.6                      | 2.202          | 2.960          |
|         | W <sub>8</sub>                    | 1.7                      | 2.800          | 3.760          |

TABLE 1 (Contd.)

| 1.               | 2.                                   | 3.  | 4.      | 5.      |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|-----|---------|---------|
| VI               | Deoiled Soyabean cake                |     |         |         |
|                  | S <sub>7</sub>                       | 1.3 | 1.631   | 2.190   |
| VII              | Deoiled Sunflower cake               |     |         |         |
|                  | S <sub>17</sub>                      | 1.1 | 1.448   | 1.940   |
| VIII             | Deoiled <i>sheria</i> cake           |     |         |         |
|                  | A <sub>21</sub>                      | 0.9 | 0.136   | 0.180   |
| IX               | Expeller <i>sheria</i> cake          |     |         |         |
|                  | A <sub>22</sub>                      | 0.2 | 0.054   | 0.070   |
| X                | Deoiled <i>ambadi</i> cake           |     |         |         |
|                  | S <sub>18</sub>                      | 0.9 | 0.632   | 0.850   |
| XI               | Deoiled rubber seed cake             |     |         |         |
|                  | W <sub>18</sub>                      | 2.7 | 5.166   | 6.940   |
| XII              | Cereal and damaged grains            |     |         |         |
|                  | A <sub>30</sub> Barley               | 1.6 | 1.830   | 2.460   |
|                  | M <sub>9</sub> Banti                 | 1.9 | 5.438   | 7.310   |
|                  | A <sub>33</sub> Maize                | 1.0 | 1.332   | 1.790   |
|                  | M <sub>15</sub> Maize                | 1.5 | 2.202   | 2.960   |
|                  | S <sub>16</sub> Maize                | 1.4 | 2.189   | 2.940   |
|                  | P <sub>11</sub> Damaged <i>jowar</i> | 0.5 | 0.315   | 0.420   |
|                  | P <sub>4</sub> Damaged Wheat         | 0.4 | 0.277   | 0.370   |
|                  | R <sub>5</sub> Damaged Wheat         | 1.4 | 1.550   | 2.080   |
|                  | R <sub>6</sub> Damaged Wheat         | 0.6 | 0.370   | 0.500   |
| XIII             | Cereal byproducts and other feeds    |     |         |         |
|                  | A <sub>37</sub> Maize grit           | 1.3 | 1.618   | 2.170   |
|                  | W <sub>10</sub> Maize gluten         | 1.9 | 3.262   | 4.380   |
|                  | M <sub>11</sub> Rice bran            | 1.7 | 2.882   | 3.870   |
|                  | S <sub>15</sub> Wheat bran           | 1.8 | 4.840   | 6.500   |
|                  | A <sub>8</sub> Mango kernels         | 1.3 | 1.142   | 1.530   |
|                  | A <sub>10</sub> Tapioca              | 0.7 | 0.707   | 0.950   |
|                  | W <sub>16</sub> Tapioca              | 1.0 | 0.767   | 1.030   |
| XIV              | Compounded cattle feeds              |     |         |         |
|                  | A <sub>12</sub>                      | 1.7 | 2.460   | 3.310   |
|                  | M <sub>12</sub>                      | 0.8 | 0.663   | 0.890   |
|                  | S <sub>13</sub>                      | 1.7 | 1.870   | 2.510   |
|                  | B <sub>2</sub>                       | 1.3 | 1.577   | 2.120   |
|                  | B <sub>3</sub>                       | 1.6 | 2.433   | 3.270   |
| Correlation(r) = |                                      |     | 0.967** | 0.798** |

Method A = Spectrophotometric method

Method B = Robertson *et al* calculation method

\*\* Significant at 1% level

It is seen that ranges of aflatoxins content among the samples examined as per spectrophotometric method and Robertson *et al* (1967) calculation method are 0.05 to 5.44 ppm and 0.07 to 7.31 ppm respectively. Deoiled *sheria* cake has shown the minimum aflatoxin (0.05 ppm) while *banti* a cereal grain has shown

the maximum of the toxin (5.44 ppm). The aflatoxins content ranges show that even the same type of ingredients would have a large variation. This may be as a result of different factors, either favouring the growth of fungi and production of toxins or inhibiting the growth or absence of infection.

TABLE 2

The quantitative ranges of aflatoxins of each class of the cattle feeds.

| Sr. No. | Class of feed                     | Ranges of aflatoxins |                |
|---------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|
|         |                                   | Method A (ppm)       | Method B (ppm) |
| I       | Deoiled groundnut cake            | 0.25 to 0.86         | 0.33 to 1.16   |
| II      | Expeller groundnut cake           | 0.13 to 2.86         | 0.18 to 3.84   |
| III     | Other deoiled cakes               | 0.05 to 5.17         | 0.07 to 6.94   |
| IV      | Cereal and damaged grains         | 0.28 to 5.44         | 0.37 to 7.31   |
| V       | Cereal byproducts and other feeds | 0.70 to 4.84         | 0.95 to 6.50   |
| VI      | Compounded cattle feeds           | 0.66 to 2.46         | 0.89 to 3.31   |

Method A = Spectrophotometric method

Method B = Robertson *et al* calculation method

The quantitative ranges of aflatoxins content of each class are given in Table 2.

Normally groundnut cake and other cakes are considered to be the sources of aflatoxin problems. However, the results here show that different classes of cattle feed ingredients are positive and are having larger amount of aflatoxins as compared to groundnut cake.

It is also observed from the table that aflatoxin content of each individual sample was comparatively higher in Robertson *et al* (1967) calculation method than spectro-photometric method of the respective samples. The quantitative results (0.05 to 5.44 ppm) and (0.07 to 7.31 ppm) of the respective methods are in agreement with the results (0.5 to 5 ppm) reported by Gopal (1970).

The correlations between the concentration of aflatoxins (ppm) and the zone of inhibition of bacterial growth (diameter in cm) were carried out. It was observed that the 'r' values under spectro-photometric method and Robertson *et al* (1970) calculation method were 0.967\*\* and 0.798\*\*\* respectively. It is apparent from the above 'r' values that the zone of

inhibition of bacterial growth is significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) correlated with the concentrations of both the methods. It is also seen that the correlation between concentration of aflatoxins by spectro-photometric method and zone of inhibition is better than Robertson *et al* (1967) calculation method. It is therefore concluded that biological test by using *Bacillus megaterium* can be used for quantitative determinations when equipment like Beckman DU-2 spectrophotometric is not available.

## REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C., 1970. Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Analytical Chemist. 11th Edn. Washington, D. C. 20044.
- Gopal, T. C., 1970. Aflatoxins: their biological importance in livestock and poultry feeds. II Animal Nutrition Research Workers Conference, Bangalore.
- Robertson, J. A., W. A. Jr. Pons and L. A. Goldblatt. 1967. Preparation of aflatoxin and determination of their ultraviolet and fluorescent characteristics. *J. Agr. Food. Chem.* 15 (4): 798-801.
- Sheth, B. P., 1973. The use of *Bacillus megaterium* and *Escherichia coli* in biological studies of aflatoxins. M. Sc. Thesis, M. S. University, Baroda (Gujarat).
- Subrahmanyam, P and A. S. Rao. 1973. Occurrence of aflatoxin in some pickled foods. *Indian J. Microbiology*, 13 (3) 157-159.